cument 21 Filed 11/03/15 Page 1 of 2

	Case 3:15-cv-01900-WHO Document 21
1	MELINDA L. HAAG United States Attorney
2	DEBORAH LEE STÄCHEL
3	Acting Regional Chief Counsel, Region IX Social Security Administration MARCELO ILLARMO, MA BBO 670079
4	Special Assistant United States Attorney
5	Social Security Administration 160 Spear Street, Suite 800
6	San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 977-8944
7	Facsimile: (415) 744-0134
8	Email: Marcelo.Illarmo@ssa.gov Attorneys for Defendant
9	UNITED STATES
10	NORTHERN DISTR
11	LEON M. JONES,
	LLON W. JOINLS.
12	
12 13	Plaintiff,
	Plaintiff, v.
13	Plaintiff, v. CAROLYN W. COLVIN,
13 14 15	Plaintiff, v. CAROLYN W. COLVIN, Acting Commissioner of Social Security,
13 14 15 16	Plaintiff, v. CAROLYN W. COLVIN,
13 14 15 16 17	Plaintiff, v. CAROLYN W. COLVIN, Acting Commissioner of Social Security,
13 14 15 16 17 18	Plaintiff, v. CAROLYN W. COLVIN, Acting Commissioner of Social Security,
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Plaintiff, v. CAROLYN W. COLVIN, Acting Commissioner of Social Security, Defendant.
13 14 15 16 17 18	Plaintiff, v. CAROLYN W. COLVIN, Acting Commissioner of Social Security, Defendant. IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and b counsel, subject to the approval of the Court, that
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Plaintiff, v. CAROLYN W. COLVIN, Acting Commissioner of Social Security, Defendant. IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and b

23

24

25

26

27

28

STATES DISTRICT COURT N DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Case No. 3:15-cv-01900-WHO) STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER FOR A FIRST EXTENSION FOR DEFENDANT TO RESPOND TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT (FIRST REQUEST) rity,

D by and between the parties through their undersigned Court, that Defendant shall have a first extension of time of ff's Motion for Summary Judgment, and this Court's ordingly:

- Defendant shall serve and file any opposition or counter-motion on or before December 4, 2015; and
- Plaintiff may serve and file a reply within fourteen days of service of defendant's opposition or counter-motion (on or before December 18, 2015).

Good cause exists to grant an extension because the Defendant's counsel has a high workload and requires additional time to respond to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment.

Stip. & Prop. Order for Extension, 3:15-cv-01900-WHO

Case 3:15-cv-01900-WHO Document 21 Filed 11/03/15 Page 2 of 2

1 Respectfully submitted, 2 3 Date: October 29, 2015 LAW OFFICES OF LAWRENCE D. ROHLFING 4 /s/ Lawrence D. Rohlfing * By: LAWRENCE D. ROHLFING 5 Attorney for Plaintiff 6 *By email authorization on 10/28/2015 7 8 Date: October 29, 2015 MELINDA L. HAAG United States Attorney 9 /s/ Marcelo Illarmo By: MARCELO ILLARMO 10 Special Assistant United States Attorney Attorneys for Defendant 11 12 13 **ORDER** 14 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. 15 DATED: November 3, 2015 16 HONORABLE WILLIAM H. ORRICK 17 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28